

Planning and Operational Considerations for Units Utilizing Military Working Dogs

Joseph Royal, DVM; Charles L. Taylor, MD

ABSTRACT

Military working dogs are rapidly becoming integral to military operations. While they bring many valuable capabilities to the battlefield, it is important that Special Operations leaders consider canine team capabilities and requirements when planning missions. Careful logistical and operational planning can optimize the health, performance, and readiness of the working dog while protecting the safety and well-being of the team members working with them. We also offer recommendations for medical treatment of dog bites.



Figure 1: MWD used in clearing operations.
Photo courtesy of <http://www.uswardogs.org>.

INTRODUCTION

Military working dogs (MWDs) play an increasingly important role in Special Operations. Canine teams bring valuable capabilities to the Special Operations unit.¹ MWDs can be used in reconnaissance, cordon and search, checkpoints, roadblocks, explosives detection, narcotics detection, crowd control, tracking and apprehension of enemy combatants, clearing buildings, and other activities. (Figure 1) They can provide a field-expedient alarm and security system. MWDs have been used to inspect suspicious packages, rapidly screen large amounts of cargo, and to search gear and equipment belonging to detainees. Canines endow the team with acute senses in light and dark settings, provide a show of force as a visible deterrent to enemy activity, and can maneuver rapidly and close quickly with the enemy in a highly compact environment. Canine

teams bring many of the above capabilities, and others, to the battle in support of SOF operations.

As the use of MWDs is relatively new to much of the Special Operations community, most unit leaders have little experience integrating MWDs into their operations. The fielding, maintenance, and utilization of MWDs is not a self-sustaining process. MWDs, like all military personnel, require certain conditions and support elements to maintain maximal effectiveness. To gain the maximum benefit from canine units, teams that work with dogs must take factors into consideration relating to canine health, handling, and safety.

TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING

Transportation of dogs in the operational environment requires certain provisions. Dogs are often transported in kennels or shipping crates while in aircraft or ground vehicles.² Depending on mission duration, dog handlers may transport significant amounts of equipment and dog food, which is usually not procurable in a deployed environment. Space allocation for kennels and equipment should be included in any plans involving MWD movement.

Transport in the confined spaces of vehicles and kennels greatly increases the risk of heat injuries to canines. This risk can be exacerbated by dehydration, a thick fur coat, tight muzzles that inhibit panting, or lack of airflow. These conditions may result in heat injury in the dog well before any of the human occupants are affected. Vehicles used to transport dogs in hot weather

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 2009		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Planning and Operational Considerations for Units Utilizing Military Working Dogs				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10th Special Forces Group (Airborne),Fort Carlson,Co,80913				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Military working dogs are rapidly becoming integral to military operations. While they bring many valuable capabilities to the battlefield, it is important that Special Operations leaders consider canine team capabilities and requirements when planning missions. Careful logistical and operational planning can optimize the health, performance, and readiness of the working dog while protecting the safety and well-being of the team members working with them. We also offer recommendations for medical treatment of dog bites.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 5	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

should be air conditioned or well ventilated.³ Kennels should be placed in the vehicle so that there is maximal airflow through and around the kennel. Dogs should never be left in a vehicle or any other enclosed, non-ventilated space in warm or hot weather without adequate ventilation or air conditioning.

Housing of the dog can have a great effect on health and readiness. In the past, teams have housed dogs with the handler inside their living unit, in temporary crates or transport kennels, or in dedicated kennels constructed on site. Regardless of the housing type utilized, all canine housing should be well ventilated. Enclosed kennels in extreme environments require climate control (e.g., air conditioning in summer in Iraq) to maintain the ambient temperature between 45 and 85°F.⁴ Outdoor kennels should have shade and airflow, especially in hot weather, and protection from wind, rain, and snow in cold weather.³ Kennels should never have persistent moisture or standing water in or around them.¹ Regardless of housing methods used, all teams housing and working with dogs should consult with a veterinarian for guidance on housing arrangements.

HEALTH HAZARDS

Combat operations pose many traumatic and non-traumatic health risks to the working dog. A typical mission may involve the dog running several miles. This level of exertion can increase the risk of heat injuries, especially in hot or humid weather. Such conditions may necessitate frequent work-rest cycle implementation, which should be integrated into mission planning.

Certain environmental hazards (hot tarmac, broken glass, concertina wire, chemicals in the environment, etc.) may put dogs at risk for injuries while on missions. Lacerations and abrasions to the paw are common and can be painful enough to impair or disable the working dog. Some dogs will tolerate protective covering on the feet, but most paw injuries can best be prevented by avoiding surfaces that might damage the exposed paw. (Figure 2) Planning for such factors can minimize such injuries and their impact on the mission.

Dogs present a particular difficulty in the presence of chemical, radiological, or biological threats. No safe, effective personal protective equipment (PPE) is currently issued for use by MWDs. Therefore, avoidance and shelter may be needed to protect them. Furthermore, a dog that is fearful or in pain may bite team members, compromising their PPE and increasing the risk for exposure to harmful agents.^{5,6} The dog may not recognize otherwise familiar individuals — including the handler — who are wearing protective gear. It

Figure 2: Canine booties are sometimes used to protect paws on hazardous or abrasive surfaces.

Photo courtesy of <http://www.uswardogs.org>



would, therefore, be beneficial to conduct training in PPE with the MWD, both for familiarization and to assess the dog's reaction, in a controlled environment. Decontamination and medical treatment and prophylaxis guidelines for MWDs exposed to chemical, radiological, or biological agents have been published.^{5,6}

Some canine toxic hazards can be found in and around the SOF team housing area. Most of these are non-toxic to humans and are easily overlooked. Some items that can be toxic to dogs include chocolate (especially dark chocolate), antifreeze, prescription medications, over-the-counter medications (e.g., acetaminophen, ibuprofen), xylitol chewing gum, and grapes or raisins.⁷ Dogs should always be supervised, and such items should be stored out of their reach.

In combat theaters, indigenous dogs are sometimes utilized for base security. However, without proper healthcare these animals can put the health of servicemembers and MWDs at risk. They can be a source of infectious and parasitic disease for MWDs and pose a high risk of wounds if they fight with MWDs. Ideally, teams working with MWDs would not employ indigenous force protection dogs. However, if these are used, certain measures must be taken to protect military-owned animals. Indigenous dogs should never interact directly with MWDs. They should also have limited or no indirect contact with MWDs (e.g., shared bedding, food and water dishes, leashes, living areas etc.).

Because strict separation may not be practical in all situations, additional measures should be used to protect MWD well-being. To mitigate the risk of infectious disease, the team Medic, under the auspices of his team leader/commander and with guidance of a veterinarian, should ensure that all force protection dogs receive appropriate vaccinations (rabies, distemper, parvovirus, adenovirus, leptospirosis) and antiparasitic treatment. Teams should provide for adequate nutrition, record keeping, and medical care for these dogs, and not allow them to mingle with the local feral dog population. In

certain locations, with proper authorization, force protection canines are authorized treatment (including surgical sterilization) at theater veterinary treatment facilities.⁸

VETERINARY MEDICAL TREATMENT

Veterinarians are rarely, if ever, present at the point of injury on the battlefield, and in almost all cases, Medics and handlers will provide first aid and often higher level care to injured or sick MWDs. For this reason, teams that work with dogs need to ensure their Medics are adequately trained in veterinary medicine. With their background and training in trauma medicine, SOF Medics are well equipped to handle many types of canine trauma. The presence of veterinary trained SOF Medics on the battlefield is an extremely efficient way to greatly enhance our ability to care for canine patients in the field.

Teams should set aside time for their Medics to do veterinary training while in garrison, and Medics should actively seek this training. Unit veterinarians will readily provide training and hands-on experience to SOF Medics as well as reading material on canine medicine.

Most canine handlers carry veterinary first aid kits, and team Medics should become familiar with their contents and how they should be used. Prior communication with handlers on a specific dog's health related issues (e.g., prior heat injuries, aggressive behavior, allergies, etc.) will enable better care and safety when treating in the field.

Medics should also be versed in medical evacuation procedures for dogs. For example, they should know veterinary facility locations in theater for evacuation, and they must understand that when the dog is injured and evacuated, the handler must accompany the dog, and will thus be temporarily taken out of the fight.



Figure 3: MWD bite training.
USAF photo by Robbin Cresswell

Team leadership should alert their unit veterinarian, medical staff, and chain of command any time a dog is evacuated for medical reasons. Team Medics and dog handlers should establish relationships with their nearest theater veterinary assets and seek out contingencies for veterinary care (e.g., civilian, coalition force, or civil affairs veterinarians).

SAFE HANDLING OF MWDs

The use of working dogs entails some risks. Their aggressive disposition and attack training occasionally result in inappropriate bites. (Figure 3) Extreme caution is needed when handling injured MWDs because fear, pain, and stress can greatly increase the risk of a bite. (Figure 4) Ensure handlers properly restrain and muzzle dogs for all medical procedures or any other time there is an increased risk of indiscriminant biting.



Figure 4: MWD aggression.
USAF photo by Meredith Canales

Pre-mission familiarization and socialization of dogs with team members, including training with them in garrison, may also decrease the likelihood of an inappropriate bite during operations. However, Operators should always remain vigilant, since pain or fear may cause a dog to bite even a familiar individual. All team members must know where the handler carries his dog muzzle, and handlers should train team members on how to apply the muzzle and make a field expedient muzzle. (Figure 5)

TREATMENT OF DOG BITES

A bite from a military working dog is treated the same as any other dog bite. An examination of the wound is performed to evaluate for injury to vital structures: nerves, tendons, joints, or vascular structures. The wound should be cleansed with soap and water. A topical antibiotic and sterile dressing can then be applied. Bites should not be closed because of the in-



Figure 5: A field expedient muzzle made using the dog's leash.

creased risk of infection. If vital structures are injured, a surgeon should be consulted. The dog handler should examine the MWD's mouth for missing or broken teeth which may be left in the wound.

Approximately five percent of dog bites become infected.⁹ Hand wounds become infected more often than wounds elsewhere. The wound infection rate can be decreased by copious irrigation.

Antibiotic prophylaxis is a reasonable course of action if follow-up cannot be assured. For adults without a penicillin allergy, 875mg of amoxicillin – 125mg clavulanate PO bid for seven days is the antibiotic of choice. For the penicillin allergic, 300mg clindamycin PO qid with a fluoroquinolone for seven days is a reasonable alternative. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole is used for children rather than a fluoroquinolone.¹⁰

All dog bite cases must be evaluated by a medical provider. Medical providers (to include SOF Medics) should immediately consult with local area preventive medicine and veterinary officers for evaluation of rabies risk and to coordinate veterinary examination of the animal involved in the biting incident. These communications should be documented on DD Form 2341, *Report of Animal Bite – Potential Rabies Exposure*. This form is filled out for all animal bite cases and forwarded to the appropriate veterinary and preventive medicine officers for evaluation.¹¹

In MWDs, the immunization status of the dog is known, and rabies post-exposure prophylaxis is rarely indicated. However, tetanus immunization is advised. Having the handler carry antibiotics for disseminating in the event of a dog bite is a sound practice as the unit Medics are unlikely to carry them on combat missions. Carrying tetanus vaccine is not advised

because of the requirement for refrigeration. Tetanus immunization schedules vary based on the previous level of immunization. The incompletely immunized should receive tetanus immune globulin and begin the usual immunization schedule. The most conservative regimen would be to immunize all who have not received a booster within five years.

CONCLUSION

MWDs can increase operational efficiency, enable mission success, and protect the lives of service members. To optimize MWD performance and to protect the health and safety of all troops, unit leadership needs to understand the requirements and capabilities of MWDs when planning for canine-assisted missions. Awareness of and planning for the logistical and operational requirements of working with canine units will ensure we maintain an effective canine force.



Photo courtesy of <http://www.uswardogs.org>



Photo courtesy of <http://www.uswardogs.org>

Key points

- **MWDs are susceptible to heat injury.**
- **Protect MWDs from environmental hazards.**
- **Avoid MWD contact with indigenous dogs.**
- **Familiarize MWDs with team members and tactics.**
- **Know veterinary medical theater footprint.**
- **Injured MWDs may bite; use proper restraint and muzzling when treating or handling them.**
- **Train team Medics on veterinary medicine.**
- **All dog bites must be evaluated by a medical provider.**

REFERENCES

1. Field Manual 3-19.17, Military Working Dogs, Department of the Army, 6 July 2005.
2. Army Regulation 190-12, Military Working Dog Program, Department of the Army, 4 June 2007.
3. Department of the Army Pamphlet 190-12, Military Working Dog Program, Department of the Army, 30 September 1993.
4. Design Guide for Military Working Dog Facilities, United States Air Force, 5 August 2003.
5. STP 8-91T14-SM-TG, Soldier's Manual and Trainer's Guide MOS 91T, Animal Care Specialist, Skill Levels 1/2/3/4, August 2002, Headquarters, Department of the Army, Soldier Training Headquarters, Publication Department of the Army, No. 8-91T14-SM-TG Washington, DC, 20 August 2002
6. Field Manual 4-02.18 (FM 8-10-18), Veterinary Service Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures, Department of the Army, 30 December 2004.
7. Meadows I, Gwaltney-Brant S (2006). The 10 most common toxicoses in dogs. *Veterinary Medicine*, March, 142-148.
8. Tab E to Appendix 2 to Annex Q to MCN-I SOP 06-04 (Standard Operating Procedures) (U) Policy and Procedures for Animal Control and Animal Bite Reporting in the Iraqi Theater of Operations, Headquarters Multi-National Corps-Iraq, 5 May 2007.
9. Tintinalli JE, Kelen GD, Stapczynski JS, Ma OJ, Cline DM (2003). Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide, 6th Edition, (pg. 327).
10. Gilbert DN, Moellering RC, Sande MA (2003). The Sanford Guide to Antimicrobial Therapy, 38d Edition, (pg. 46).11.
11. Army Regulation 40-905 (SECNAVINST 6401.1B, AFI 48-131), Medical Services, Veterinary Health Services, Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, 29 August 2006.



CPT Joe Royal graduated from Brigham Young University in 2001 and from Washington State University as a Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, he entered active duty service in 2005 and he is currently assigned to the 10th Special Forces Group (Airborne).

Dr. Charles Taylor is an emergency medicine trained physician assigned to 10th Special Forces Group. His previous assignments include staff physician at Womack Army Medical Center and battalion surgeon at 2 Bn 75th Ranger Battalion. He has served in both Iraq and Afghanistan.